1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	
	IN THE MATTER OF: )
4	· )
	USCOC OF ILLINOIS RSA #1, )
5	LLC, USCOC OF CENTRAL )
	ILLINOIS, LLC, UNITED )
6	STATES CELLULAR OPERATING )
	COMPANY OF CHICAGO, LLC, ) No. 12-0676
7	USCOC OF ILLINOIS RSA #4, )
	LLC, DAVENPORT CELLULAR )
8	TELEPHONE COMPANY, USCOC )
	OF ROCKFORD, LLC, AND TDS )
9	METROCOM, LLC,
	Petitioner, )
10	)
	)
11	Joint petition for )
	approval of negotiated )
12	interconnection agreement )
	and first amendment to )
13	agreement pursuant to 47 )
	U.S.C. 252.
14	
15	Chicago, Illinois
	January 17, 2013
16	
	Met, pursuant to adjournment, at 10:03 a.m.
17	in Conference Room N-801, 160 North LaSalle Street,
	Chicago, Illinois.
18	
19	BEFORE:
20	Mr. John T. Riley, Administrative Law Judge
21	
22	

```
1
      APPEARANCES:
 2
          SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP,
          (233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 6600,
 3
          Chicago, Illinois 60606,
          (312) 258-5680),
 4
          omacbride@schiffhardin.com,
               for the Petitioner;
 5
          ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION,
 6
          (160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,
          Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104,
 7
          (312) 793-2877),
          MR. MATTHEW L. HARVEY,
 8
          mharvey@icc.illinois.gov,
               for the Staff.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

1	I N D E X							
2								
	WITNESS	DX	CX	RDX	RCX	By Judge		
3								
	None.							
4								
5		STA	A F F	EXHI	вІТ	S		
6								
	NUMBER	N	MARKED	FOR ID	IN E	EVIDENCE		
7								
	None.							
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
L7								
18								
19								
20								
21								

- JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the
- Illinois Commerce Commission, I call Docket 12-0676.
- This is a joint petition between USCOC of Illinois
- 4 RSA #1, LLC; USCOC of Central Illinois, LLC; United
- 5 States Cellular Operating Company of Chicago, LLC;
- USCOC of Illinois RSA #4, LLC; Davenport Cellular
- <sup>7</sup> Telephone Company; USCOC of Rockford, LLC; and TDS
- 8 Metrocom, LLC, for approval of a negotiated
- 9 interconnection agreement and first amendment to the
- agreement pursuant to 47 U.S.C. 252.
- And, Mr. MacBride, you are here on
- behalf of --
- MR. MacBRIDE: TDS Metrocom.
- JUDGE RILEY: TDS?
- And would you enter an appearance for
- the record, please?
- MR. MacBRIDE: Yes. Appearing on behalf of TDS
- Metrocom, LLC, this is Owen MacBride. My address is
- 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 6600, Chicago,
- <sup>20</sup> Illinois, 60606.
- JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- 22 And for commission staff?

- MR. HARVEY: Appearing for the staff of the
- 2 Illinois Commerce Commission, Matthew L. Harvey, 160
- North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois,
- 4 60601.
- JUDGE RILEY: Thank you.
- Mr. Harvey, I'm going to begin with
- you. We do not have a filed verified statement at
- 8 this point.
- 9 MR. HARVEY: That's correct and regrettable,
- your Honor. Due to the pressure of other business,
- staff was quite simply unable to get a verified
- statement on file. We anticipate we will be able to
- do so in a week or less. And we would request the
- following relief specifically, that would we be
- allowed to file our verified statement -- I guess,
- nunc protunc today -- and that, if necessary, the
- matter be set over for a very short status date.
- Perhaps, a week.
- 19 JUDGE RILEY: Mr. MacBride?
- MR. MacBRIDE: We have no objection to that.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay.
- MR. MacBRIDE: I understand that if the

- verified statement recommends approval of the
- agreement, that there will not be a need for a
- <sup>3</sup> further hearing.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- Mr. Harvey, is that --
- 6 MR. HARVEY: That's --
- JUDGE RILEY: What would the purpose of the
- 8 status be is my question?
- 9 MR. HARVEY: In the what I would describe as a
- wildly improbable event that there were issues
- associated with the staff's assessment of the
- interconnection agreement under the section 252
- 13 criteria.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- Then what I'll do is we can continue
- this thing, say, to Friday for a status, Friday, the
- 25th, for a status. And in the meantime, should
- the -- when the verified statement gets posted on the
- commission e-docket system and approves that there is
- no issue, I will simply write the order from that
- point, admit the document by ruling, and I'll cancel
- the status date for the 25th.

- MR. MacBRIDE: That would be fine, Judge.
- MR. HARVEY: That's fine with staff as well,
- your Honor.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right.
- 5 And the verified statement, if there
- is issue, will be the standard verified statement
- saying that there is nothing contrary to the public
- interest and there is no discrimination?
- 9 MR. HARVEY: I'm certain that that's what it
- will be, your Honor.
- JUDGE RILEY: All right. That's okay. And if
- there's anything other than that, then we have our
- issue. And then that's when we'll convene on the
- 25th, to discuss it.
- MR. HARVEY: I think that's a remote
- possibility at most, your Honor.
- JUDGE RILEY: Okay. All right.
- Then I'll just continue this matter
- tentatively to Friday the 25th at 10:00 a.m., and
- I'll keep an eye out for the proposed -- the verified
- statement. Give me a heads up when it gets -- or
- have somebody give me a heads up when it --

```
1
           MR. HARVEY: We will draw your attention to it.
 2
      And I'll provide you with a courtesy copy, your
 3
      Honor.
 4
           JUDGE RILEY: All right. I'd appreciate that.
 5
                    All right. We're continued to the
 б
      25th.
 7
                        Thank you very much, your Honor.
           MR. HARVEY:
 8
           MR. McBRIDE: Thanks, Judge.
 9
10
                       (WHEREUPON, the proceedings have
11
                       been adjourned until, January 25,
12
                        2013, at the hour of 10:00 a.m.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
```